



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,545	12/21/2001	Norman Ken Ouchi	Solectron 721	7992
7590	05/23/2008			
Robert Moll 1173 St. Charles Court Los Altos, CA 94024			EXAMINER NEURAUTER, GEORGE C	
			ART UNIT 2143	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/23/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/037,545	Applicant(s) OUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner George C. Neurauter, Jr.	Art Unit 2143	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) George C. Neurauter, Jr. (3) Jennifer Volk.
 (2) Chris Hunter. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8 and 31-41.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Project 2000".

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, double patenting, and 35 USC 102 rejections under "Project 2000" were discussed. The Applicant proposed amendments to overcome the rejections. The Examiner noted that the amendments regarding the 102 rejections, if filed, would most likely require further search and/or consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George C. Neurauter, Jr./
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2143

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required